

## PREVALENCE OF BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTORS FOR NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOLS STUDENTS IN AL- NAJAF AL- ASHRAF GOVERNORATE IN 2024

Dr. Wasan Hadi Sahib<sup>\*1</sup> and Dr. Huda Ghazi Hameed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M.B.CH. B, D.C.M. Al-Najaf Health Directorate, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup>Professor M.B.CH. B, F.I.B.M.S/ C.M Department of Family and Community Medicine, Collage of Medicine / University of Kufa, Iraq.

Article Received date: 11 May 2025

Article Revised date: 31 May 2025

Article Accepted date: 21 June 2025



\*Corresponding Author: Dr. Wasan Hadi Sahib

M.B.CH. B, D.C.M. Al-Najaf Health Directorate, Iraq.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Non-communicable diseases are a serious global health problem. They share risk factors that increase the likelihood of developing these diseases. A large percentage of non-communicable diseases will be prevented if changes in these behaviors occur in early life. **Objective:** Measure the prevalence of nine behavioral risk factors for non-communicable diseases (smoking, four unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, drug and alcohol abuse, and insufficient sleep) during 2024 and assess their association with sociodemographic features of students. **Methods:** A questionnaire was constructed to gather data among secondary school students in the AL-Najaf governorate. After gaining ethical approvals, a cross-sectional study was conducted to interview 354 students in 50 secondary schools. Descriptive statistics and chi-square tests were used to analyze the data. **Results:** Most common risk factor was Low fruit and vegetables in 98.31% followed by high consumed soft drink in 83.3% of students. The prevalence of most behavioral risk factors was high since 69.77% of the participants had 5-7 risk factors and shows statistical significance with age, residency, parents' educational levels, mother's job, and income of the student's family. **Conclusions:** The prevalence of most of the risk factors studied was high. Most participants had at least two risk factors related to non-communicable diseases, which were more associated with students' families' sociodemographic features.

**KEYWORDS:** Non-communicable diseases (NCDs); Risk factors (RFs); Secondary schools' students.

### INTRODUCTION

Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) are increasing importance, as a total of 41 million were due to NCD deaths occurring globally in 2019. A group of risk factors (RFs) contribute significantly to the morbidity of NCDs.<sup>[1]</sup> NCDs are a significant health burden, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).<sup>[2]</sup> The annual statistics report of the Ministry of Health (2023) reveals the premature deaths from major NCDs was 2.7/1000 (Al-Najaf 3.4/1000).<sup>[3]</sup> NCDs in adulthood are often linked to behavioral RFs established early in life during adolescence.<sup>[4]</sup> Adolescence is a critical period, as it transitions the lifestyle and body from child to adult within it<sup>[5]</sup>, since they get more freedom to make decisions that can influence their health and well-being.<sup>[6]</sup> In Iraq, the population estimate for the adolescent age group 10-19 yrs. in 2023 was 22.93% of

the population (In Al-Najaf 23.55%).<sup>[3]</sup> Secondary education covers ages 12-17 yrs. Old.<sup>[7]</sup>

WHO classify RFs as: 1. Non-modifiable RFs as Age, Gender, and Family history. 2. Behavioral RFs/Modified as: Physical inactivity, Tobacco, Alcohol use, Unhealthy diets. 3. Metabolic RFs: include raised BP; overweight/obesity; hyperglycemia; and hyperlipidemia. 4. Environmental contributors to NCDs like: sunlight and air pollution.<sup>[8]</sup>

One of behavioral RF that can affect the development of NCDs in future is Tobacco which is responsible for over 8 million deaths annually.<sup>[9]</sup> Among secondary school students in Suleimanya, the water pipe was the most used tobacco product.<sup>[10]</sup> In Baghdad, a study found that the duration of smoking was statistically linked to the development of COPD.<sup>[11]</sup> The use of tobacco was

significantly modifiable RFs for renal diseases.<sup>[12]</sup> Factors to initiating smoking among students were peer influence, parental behavior, and media exposure.<sup>[13]</sup> Also, Alcohol beverage caused approximately 2.6 million deaths globally in 2019.<sup>[14]</sup> In a study of HTN among Iraqis there was 3.6% of the subjects stated they consumed alcohol.<sup>[15]</sup> In a study on alcohol use in Baghdad, 16.7% of participants reached the criteria for alcohol abuse.<sup>[16]</sup>

Drug abuse often can go with one or more health issues like lung, heart, cancer and mental diseases. In 2016, 12 million individuals aged  $\geq 16$  yrs. driving under the influence of drugs.<sup>[17]</sup> Low educational level associated significantly with drug abuse in a post-conflict study in Baghdad.<sup>[16]</sup> In addition, Physical inactivity, since 80% of adolescents didn't meet recommended physical activity levels (PA) globally.<sup>[18]</sup> WHO recommends the primary prevention of NCDs through PA at population level.<sup>[19]</sup> In a study of obesity of Medical and Paramedical Staff in al-Najaf, there is low PA 69.4% of those (56.8%) have BMI  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>[20]</sup> while 78.7% of teenagers were found physically inactive in a study among Teenagers in Mosul.<sup>[21]</sup> Physical inactivity was observed among secondary school students in Baghdad's Al-Rusafa, with a rate of 90.5%.<sup>[22]</sup> Another behavior is Sleep insufficiency; Adolescents typically need 8-10 hrs. sleep at night.<sup>[23]</sup> A case-control study in Zakho reveals that inadequate sleep increases the risk of HTN.<sup>[24]</sup> A study on the impact of using the internet and social media on sleep among secondary school students in Baghdad revealed that 36.4% of students experienced insufficient sleep.<sup>[25]</sup> An unhealthy diet is one of the key risks for the global burden of NCDs.<sup>[26]</sup> In Hilla study, patients who never/sometimes ate fruits had a higher

percentage of obesity (significant statistically).<sup>[27]</sup> A study done in most governorates shows that most hypertensive participants (87.4%) reported not consuming salty and processed foods, which was significantly associated with the control of HTN.<sup>[15]</sup> A study in Baghdad found a strong link between eating fast food at home and their BMI.<sup>[7]</sup> The Study Aimed To study some behavioral RFs for non-communicable diseases among secondary school students in Al-Najaf Al-Ashraf governorate in 2024.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A Cross-sectional study was conducted in Al-Najaf Governorate from February to December 2024.

**Sample selection:** The study population was secondary school students in Al-Najaf Governorate. A random sample of about 10% (50) secondary schools was chosen from a total of 498 schools in the governorate. Stratified random sampling was employed, as schools were divided into strata, and random number tables were used to select the required number of schools. As shown in Figure 2.1. Sample size calculated by using equation:

$$\text{Sample size (n)} = \frac{(z^2 * p * q)}{d^2} \dots\dots [22]$$

$$n = (1.96)^2 * 0.133 * (1 - 0.133) / (0.05)^2 \approx 177$$

Whereas: n: minimal sample size, p: proportion, Prevalence of smoking (the higher risk associated with annual death according to WHO) which was 13.3% according to the study of Baghdadi, Ghazwan A<sup>[22]</sup>, Z: confidence level (1.96 at 95%) and d: the acceptable margin of error (0.05).




Fig.2.1: Flow chart representing sample selection for the study.

The sample size was doubled to 354 students for more representation & distributed evenly across the 50 selected schools & obtaining a list of students' names from the school's managers. These lists were then divided into Serata, students from each group were systematically selected.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: All students 12-19 yrs. attending selected schools were eligible to participate in the study. Students > 19 yr., those with existing NCDs, and those who were absent during the review period or declined to participate were excluded.

Data collection: Based on a review of literature of closely related articles<sup>[4,6,10,13,22, 29]</sup> and WHO site.<sup>[30]</sup> A questionnaire was designed in alignment with the objectives and sent for the approval of the committee of local experts to ensure validity and includes 2 parts of information:

1/ Sociodemographic information about the participants includes: Age, sex, grade of students, level of education, type of school, residence, family income, marital state, additional work, academic performance, and parents' job and education. The socioeconomic features were categorized into two parts: the students' sociodemographic characteristics and the sociodemographic characteristics of the students' families. Age groups grouped since mean age was 15.81≈16 yrs., so dividing to 12-15 and 16-19 yrs. No. of brother group according to the mean Total fertility rate (for each woman) in Iraq 2.9 (in AL-Najaf 3.7) in the annual statistic report of Iraq's MOH 2023<sup>[3]</sup> so classified in 3 groups 0-2, 3-4 and above 4 and in same base adding 2 (parents) to classify no. of family. The income of families grouped according to Average Per Capita Income, which =5.856.600 dinars in 2021 (according to the Authority of Statistics and Geographic Information System (ASGIS)/Central Statistical Organization/Ministry of Planning.<sup>[31]</sup> Marital state was divided into whether students get married (previously/currently) or not. Students' academic performance was taken from the managers of schools and classified into 2 groups with cutoff point of 70 marks and more (i.e., excellent, very good and good performance) and less than 70 marks (intermediate and weak performance). Parents' education level was divided into 4 groups: not reading or writing, non-formal education - primary, secondary education (intermediate and Preparatory), and higher education (college and postgraduate).

2/ Nine behavioral RFs informations which includes questions about smoking, 4 dietary habits FVs intake, add salt before eating, fast food and soft drink consumption), physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, drug abuse, and insufficient sleep. The questions related to smoking, alcohol consumption, fruits, vegetable, salt, drug abuse, and physical inactivity were taken mainly

from STEP-wise approach to NCD risk factor surveillance<sup>[30]</sup> of WHO in brief form to preserve the time while drug abuse, fast food and soft drink intake designed on bases of Global school-based student health survey (GSHS)<sup>[30]</sup> in brief form also. Questions on Sleep mainly from the Sleep Health Index (SHI) and insufficient Sleep Syndrome depending on the National Sleep Foundation (NSF).<sup>[23]</sup>

Then, the prevalence of RFs, such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and drug abuse, was assessed by determining whether students had ever engaged in these behaviors. While physical inactivity, according to WHO, adolescents should engage in at least 60 minutes of moderate- vigorous-intensity PA/day, on average, throughout the wk. or, vigorous-intensity activities should be included at least three days/week.<sup>[19]</sup> PA classified as no PA, less than recommended PA, recommended PA. Sleep insufficiency was classified with the least recommended hrs. (8-hr) duration/night as recommended by the NSF, so they grouped into < 8 hrs. sleep and ≥ 8 hrs. sleep at nighttime.<sup>[23]</sup>

Unhealthy diet, done in 3 groups: as low FVs consumption, the intake of FVs should be 5 servings per day according to WHO criteria, so it was divided as 0 servings, 1-4 servings and 5 or more servings/day.<sup>[26]</sup> Add salt to the food, whether students ever used it or not and frequency of adding salts done as 5 Likert scale (never, rarely, sometimes, often and always). High soft drinks and fast-food intake. classify whether participants use it or not and frequency of use is illustrated by wk.

Another tool aids us in gathering information about some habits. The researcher uses WHO show charts to illustrate to the students examples about types of food and some sports/activities. Each student has an individual interview conducted by the researcher, and filling out the questionnaire for each student typically requires 12-15 minutes.

Data management and analysis: The data collected was summarized and analyzed statically by statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) program version 26 and Microsoft excel 365. All factors analyzed to assess their relationships with sociodemographic features were evaluated using the chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test, with p-values ≤ 0.05 considered significant. The cutoff points for determining the presence of RFs among each student were as follows: smoking, alcohol consumption, drug abuse, high soft drink consumption, and fast-food intake were analyzed based on whether the student had engaged in these behaviors or not. Physical inactivity and low FVs consumption were measured according to WHO recommendations. Sleep duration was categorized as < 8 hrs. or ≥ 8 hrs./night. The addition of salt, using a Likert scale, with scores < 3 or ≥ 3.

**Ethical considerations:** Ethical approval was obtained from the Iraqi Council of Medical Specialization and AL-Najaf Directorate of Education. Personal data confidentiality was ensured, and verbal consent from students was acquired in the presence of a teacher prior to participation.

## RESULTS

Sociodemographic features of the students': study included 354 secondary school students with Mean age and SD were  $15.81 \pm 1.86$  (min = 12 and max 19 yr). Males 184(52%), 286 (80.8%) had no additional job. Students from urban areas were 80.2% (284), and 78 % (276) belong to governmental schools. Table 1 shows more detailed sociodemographic features.

**Table 1: Sociodemographic features of students.**

| Sociodemographic feature |                               | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Age group (year)         | 12-15                         | 150(42.4)     |
|                          | 16-19                         | 204(57.6)     |
| Sex                      | Male                          | 184(52)       |
|                          | Female                        | 170(48)       |
| Level of education       | Intermediate                  | 182(51.4)     |
|                          | Preparatory                   | 172(48.6)     |
| Marital status           | Single                        | 323(91.2)     |
|                          | Married (current or previous) | 31(8.8)       |
| Student additional job   | Yes                           | 68(19.2)      |
|                          | No                            | 286(80.8)     |
| Residence                | Urban                         | 284(80.2)     |
|                          | Rural                         | 70(19.8)      |
| Class                    | 1st class                     | 62(17.5)      |
|                          | 2sd class                     | 61(17.2)      |
|                          | 3rd class                     | 57(16.1)      |
|                          | 4th class                     | 62(17.5)      |
|                          | 5th class                     | 53(15)        |
|                          | 6th class                     | 59(16.7)      |
| School type              | Governmental                  | 276(78)       |
|                          | Private                       | 78(22)        |
| Academic performance     | Excellent-good                | 255(72.1)     |
|                          | Intermediate-weak             | 99(27.9)      |
| Total                    |                               | 354(100)      |

Sociodemographic features of the students' families: Most students lived with both parents (83.1%). The Mean and SD family members was  $6.83 \pm 2.11$  (min = 2 and max = 18) while mean and SD of siblings was 3.35

$\pm 1.49$  (min = 0 and max = 8). Mean and SD of the family's Income (IQD) was  $10.000.410 \pm 5.120.960$  (min = 3.000.000 and max = 36.000.000). More shown on table 2.

**Table 2: Sociodemographic features of students' families.**

| Sociodemographic feature |                                                | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Student lives with       | Both parents                                   | 294 (83.1)    |
|                          | Single parent                                  | 41 (11.6)     |
|                          | Other                                          | 19 (5.4)      |
| Family members           | < 5                                            | 33 (9.3)      |
|                          | 5-6                                            | 134 (37.9)    |
|                          | > 6                                            | 187 (52.8)    |
| Siblings                 | < 3                                            | 104 (29.4)    |
|                          | 3-4                                            | 178 (50.3)    |
|                          | > 4                                            | 72 (20.3)     |
| Mother's education level | No read and write                              | 31 (8.8)      |
|                          | Non-formal education (read and write)- Primary | 50 (14.1)     |
|                          | Secondary (Intermediate- Preparatory)          | 160 (45.2)    |
|                          | Higher education (college and postgraduate)    | 113 (31.9)    |
| Mother's job             | Employed                                       | 60 (16.9)     |
|                          | Non-employed                                   | 242 (68.4)    |
|                          | Self employed                                  | 52 (14.7)     |

|                                 |                                                |                  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Father's education level</b> | No read and write                              | 17 (4.8)         |
|                                 | Non-formal education (read and write)- Primary | 32 (9)           |
|                                 | Secondary (Intermediate- Preparatory)          | 116 (32.8)       |
|                                 | Higher education (college and postgraduate)    | 189 (53.4)       |
| <b>Father's job</b>             | Employed                                       | 170 (48)         |
|                                 | Non-employee                                   | 16 (4.5)         |
|                                 | Self-employee                                  | 144 (40.7)       |
|                                 | Not present                                    | 24 (6.8)         |
| <b>Income (IQD/ yr)</b>         | Less than 5.856.600                            | 24 (6.8)         |
|                                 | More or= 5.856.600                             | 221 (62.4)       |
|                                 | Don't know                                     | 109 (30.8)       |
| <b>Total</b>                    |                                                | <b>354 (100)</b> |

Prevalence of behavioral RFs: Low FVs consumption shows the highest prevalent RF, 98.31% followed by soft drinks 83.3% then sleep < 8 hrs. at night 81.4%. No

participants reported alcohol or drug abuse in this study. Table 3 illustrates the prevalence and some details of each RF.

**Table 3: Prevalence and details of RFs among secondary school students.**

| <b>Risk factors</b>                  | <b>Features</b>                           | <b>% (n.)</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Smoking                              | Prevalence of smoking                     |               | 354          |
|                                      | Smoker                                    | 19.8% (70)    |              |
|                                      | Non-smoker                                | 80.2% (284)   |              |
|                                      | Type of smoking                           |               | 70           |
|                                      | Shisha                                    | 47.1% (33)    |              |
|                                      | Manufactured cigarette                    | 34.3% (24)    |              |
|                                      | Electronic Cigarette                      | 18.6% (13)    |              |
| Alcohol and Drug abuse               | The prevalence of alcohol and drug abuse  |               | 354          |
|                                      | Use                                       | 0% (0)        |              |
|                                      | Non- use                                  | 100% (354)    |              |
| Physical inactivity                  | Prevalence of Physical inactivity         |               | 354          |
|                                      | No PA                                     | 41.24% (148)  |              |
|                                      | Less than recommended PA                  | 33.62% (119)  |              |
|                                      | Recommended PA                            | 25.14% (87)   |              |
|                                      | Types of PA on Regular sport              |               | 354          |
|                                      | Vigorous PA                               | 13% (46)      |              |
|                                      | Moderate PA                               | 15.8% (56)    |              |
|                                      | No PA                                     | 71.2% (252)   |              |
|                                      | Types of PA on daily activities (or work) |               | 354          |
|                                      | Vigorous PA                               | 2% (7)        |              |
|                                      | Moderate PA                               | 34.7% (123)   |              |
|                                      | No PA                                     | 63.3% (224)   |              |
| Insufficient sleep                   | Prevalence of Insufficient sleep          |               | 354          |
|                                      | Less than 8 hrs. at night                 | 81.64% (288)  |              |
|                                      | 8 hrs. and more                           | 18.36% (66)   |              |
|                                      | Causes of sleeping < 8 hrs. at night      |               | 288          |
|                                      | Using electronic devices                  | 43.06% (124)  |              |
|                                      | Study                                     | 31.25% (90)   |              |
|                                      | Work                                      | 11.81% (34)   |              |
|                                      | Noisy environment                         | 4.51% (13)    |              |
|                                      | Caring for another person                 | 3.82% (11)    |              |
|                                      | Not right temperature                     | 3.13% (9)     |              |
|                                      | Multiple causes                           | 2.43% (7)     |              |
| Low fruit and vegetables consumption | Prevalence of low FVs                     |               | 354          |
|                                      | 0 serving                                 | 31.36% (111)  |              |
|                                      | 1-4 serving                               | 66.95% (237)  |              |
|                                      | 5 serving and more                        | 1.69% (6)     |              |
| Added salt to the food               | Prevalence of added salt                  |               | 354          |
|                                      | Added                                     | 80.79% (286)  |              |

|                            |                             |              |     |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----|
|                            | Not added                   | 19.21% (68)  | 354 |
|                            | Frequency of added salt     |              |     |
|                            | Always                      | 12.71% (45)  |     |
|                            | Often                       | 22.88% (81)  |     |
|                            | Usually                     | 24.01% (85)  |     |
|                            | Rarely                      | 21.19% (75)  |     |
|                            | Never                       | 19.21% (68)  |     |
|                            | Types of salt used          |              | 286 |
|                            | Table salt                  | 90.56% (259) |     |
|                            | Sea salt                    | 6.24% (18)   |     |
|                            | Himalaya salt               | 1.75% (5)    |     |
|                            | Other                       | 1.4% (4)     |     |
| Consumption of fast foods  | Prevalence of fast food     |              | 354 |
|                            | Consumed                    | 79.7% (282)  |     |
|                            | Not consumed                | 20.3% (72)   |     |
|                            | No. of use / wk.            |              | 282 |
|                            | Once                        | 12.8% (36)   |     |
|                            | Twice                       | 16.3% (46)   |     |
|                            | 3 times                     | 14.9% (42)   |     |
|                            | 4 times                     | 18.1% (51)   |     |
|                            | 5 times                     | 21.6% (61)   |     |
|                            | 6 times                     | 5.3% (15)    |     |
|                            | 7 times                     | 11% (31)     |     |
| Consumption of soft drinks | Prevalence of soft drinking |              | 354 |
|                            | Consumed                    | 83.3% (295)  |     |
|                            | Not consumed                | 16.7% (56)   |     |
|                            | No. of use / wk.            |              | 295 |
|                            | Once                        | 12.2% (36)   |     |
|                            | Twice                       | 10.8% (32)   |     |
|                            | 3 times                     | 7.5% (22)    |     |
|                            | 4 times                     | 11.2% (33)   |     |
|                            | 5 times                     | 16.9% (50)   |     |
|                            | 6 times                     | 12.5% (37)   |     |
|                            | 7 times                     | 28.8% (85)   |     |

Since there was 0% alcohol consumption and drug abuse there were 7 behaviors registered among secondary school students and apart from only one student

recording only one risk behavior, at least each student recorded 2 RFs for NCDs. The means of these RFs was  $4.97 \pm 1.2 (\approx 5)$  and they grouped as seen in fig.3.1




Fig. 3.1: Risk factors groups among secondary school students.

Relationship of behavioral risk factors with sociodemographic features of students were listed in table no. 4. It shows statistical significance on age groups/yrs. and residency of students with p-value less

than 0.05 by using  $\chi^2$  test since the students aged 16-19 yrs. and students who were from urban residence had 5-7 risk factors compared to those smaller age and from rural areas.

**Table 4: Behavioral risk factors with sociodemographic features of students.**

| Sociodemographic feature  |                            | Risk factors n. (%) |              | Total<br>n=354 | P-value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
|                           |                            | 1-4<br>n=107        | 5-7<br>n=247 |                |         |
| Age group<br>(year)       | 12-15                      | 54 (36%)            | 96 (64%)     | 150 (100%)     | 0.043   |
|                           | 16-19                      | 53 (26%)            | 151 (74%)    | 204 (100%)     |         |
| Sex                       | Male                       | 52 (28.3%)          | 132 (71.7%)  | 184 (100%)     | 0.402   |
|                           | Female                     | 55 (32.4%)          | 115 (67.6%)  | 170 (100%)     |         |
| Marital status            | Single                     | 95 (29.4%)          | 223 (70.6%)  | 323 (100%)     | 0.282   |
|                           | Married (current/previous) | 12 (38.7%)          | 19 (61.3%)   | 31 (100%)      |         |
| Residence                 | Urban                      | 75 (26.4%)          | 209 (73.6%)  | 284 (100%)     | 0.002   |
|                           | Rural                      | 32 (45.7%)          | 38 (54.3%)   | 70 (100%)      |         |
| Student<br>additional job | Yes                        | 23 (33.8%)          | 45 (66.2%)   | 68 (100%)      | 0.472   |
|                           | No                         | 84 (29.4%)          | 202 (70.6%)  | 286 (100%)     |         |
| Level of<br>education     | Intermediate               | 61 (33.5%)          | 121 (66.5%)  | 182 (100%)     | 0.166   |
|                           | Preparatory                | 46 (26.7%)          | 126 (73.3%)  | 172 (100%)     |         |
| School type               | Governmental               | 86 (31.2%)          | 190 (68.8%)  | 276 (100%)     | 0.472   |
|                           | Private                    | 21 (26.9%)          | 57 (73.1%)   | 78 (100%)      |         |
| Class                     | 1st class                  | 24 (38.7%)          | 38 (61.3%)   | 62 (100%)      | 0.392   |
|                           | 2sd class                  | 18 (29.5%)          | 38 (70.5%)   | 61 (100%)      |         |
|                           | 3rd class                  | 18 (31.6%)          | 39 (68.4%)   | 57 (100%)      |         |
|                           | 4th class                  | 21 (33.9%)          | 41 (66.1%)   | 62 (100%)      |         |
|                           | 5th class                  | 12 (22.6%)          | 41 (77.4%)   | 53 (100%)      |         |
|                           | 6th class                  | 14 (23.7%)          | 45 (76.3%)   | 59 (100%)      |         |
| Academic<br>performance   | Excellent-good             | 74 (29%)            | 181 (71%)    | 55 (100%)      | 0.428   |
|                           | Intermediate-weak          | 33 (33.3%)          | 66 (66.7%)   | 99(100%)       |         |

Relationship of behavioral RFs with sociodemographic features of students' families were listed in table no.5. It is seen there was a statistical significance with p-value less than 0.05 with parents' educational levels, mothers'

job and the income of the student's family, since students with parents' higher education level (college and postgraduate), employed mother and high income have 5-7 RFs than those with other groups.

**Table 5: Behavioral risk factors with sociodemographic features of students' families.**

| Sociodemographic feature       |                                                   | Risk factors no. (%) |              | Total<br>n=354 | P-<br>value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
|                                |                                                   | 1-4<br>n=107         | 5-7<br>n=247 |                |             |
| Student<br>lives with          | Both parents                                      | 88 (29.9%)           | 206 (70.1%)  | 294 (100%)     | 0.053       |
|                                | Single parent                                     | 9 (22%)              | 32 (78%)     | 41 (100%)      |             |
|                                | Other                                             | 10 (52.6%)           | 9 (47.4%)    | 19 (100%)      |             |
| Family<br>members              | Less than 5                                       | 8 (24.2%)            | 25 (75.8%)   | 33 (100%)      | 0.674       |
|                                | 5-6                                               | 43 (32.1%)           | 91 (67.9%)   | 134 (100%)     |             |
|                                | More than 6                                       | 56 (29.9%)           | 131 (70.1%)  | 187 (100%)     |             |
| Sibling                        | Less 3                                            | 23 (22.1%)           | 81 (77.9%)   | 104 (100%)     | 0.098       |
|                                | 3-4                                               | 59 (33.1%)           | 119 (66.9%)  | 178 (100%)     |             |
|                                | More 4                                            | 25 (34.7%)           | 47 (65.3%)   | 72 (100%)      |             |
| Mother's<br>education<br>level | No read and write                                 | 15 (48.4%)           | 16 (51.6%)   | 31 (100%)      | 0.038       |
|                                | Non-formal education (read and write)-<br>Primary | 18 (36%)             | 32 (64%)     | 50 (100%)      |             |
|                                | Secondary (Intermediate and<br>Preparatory)       | 48 (30%)             | 112 (70%)    | 160 (100%)     |             |
|                                | Higher education (collage and<br>postgraduate)    | 26 (23%)             | 87 (77%)     | 113 (100%)     |             |
| Mother's<br>job                | Employed                                          | 9 (15%)              | 51 (85%)     | 60 (100%)      | 0.011       |
|                                | Non-employed                                      | 83 (34.3%)           | 159 (65.7%)  | 242 (100%)     |             |
|                                | Self employed                                     | 15 (27.4%)           | 37 (72.6%)   | 52 (100%)      |             |
| Father's<br>education<br>level | No read and write                                 | 7 (41.2%)            | 10 (58.8%)   | 17 (100%)      | 0.012       |
|                                | Non-formal education (read and write)-<br>Primary | 17 (53.1%)           | 15 (46.9%)   | 32 (100%)      |             |

|                           |                                             |            |             |            |               |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
|                           | Secondary (Intermediate and Preparatory)    | 35 (30.2%) | 81 (69.8%)  | 116 (100%) |               |
|                           | Higher education (college and postgraduate) | 48 (25.4%) | 141 (74.6%) | 189 (100%) |               |
| <b>Father's job</b>       | Employed                                    | 46 (27.1%) | 124 (72.9%) | 170 (100%) | <b>0.474</b>  |
|                           | Non-employed                                | 7 (43.8%)  | 9 (56.3%)   | 16 (100%)  |               |
|                           | Self employed                               | 46 (31.9%) | 98 (68.1%)  | 144 (100%) |               |
|                           | Not present                                 | 8 (33.3%)  | 16 (66.7%)  | 24 (100%)  |               |
| <b>Income in IQD / yr</b> | Less than 5.856.600                         | 11 (45.8%) | 13 (54.2%)  | 24 (100%)  | <b>0.040*</b> |
|                           | More or= 5.856.600                          | 57 (25.8%) | 164 (74.2%) | 221 (100%) |               |
|                           | Don't know                                  | 39 (35.8%) | 70 (64.2%)  | 109 (100%) |               |

\* If exclude who they don't know  $p = 0.037$

## DISCUSSION

This study provides insights into the behavioral RFs contributed to NCDs among secondary school students in Al-Najaf governorate in 2024. In the study, Smoking prevalence was 19.8%, differing from rates reported in Baghdad (13.3%), Suleimanya (25.3%) and Karbala (25.3%).<sup>[22,10,29]</sup> Therefore, the smoking rate was higher than in Baghdad. This can be because smoking is encouraging behavior, so it increases to use it with time, but it is lower than in Karbala and Suleimanya, which may indicate that some students may deny smoking due to fear of punishment. Socially, Smoking is often seen as acceptable behavior and often relatively cheap and easily accessible, making it easier for young to start and continue smoking. The study didn't identify cases of alcohol or drug abuse, while in Erbil, 3.7% of students consumed alcohol and several drugs abused in percentages 0.1-1.3%. They used a large sample (3000 students) and a self-administered questionnaire.<sup>[32]</sup> Students may deny alcohol and drugs consumption due to social stigma and fear of consequences as they go against religious or cultural values. Approximately 75% of students don't follow the recommended PA/week, which agrees with study in Mosul when 78% of participants didn't follow PA recommendations.<sup>[21]</sup> In a study of diabetic adolescents in Hilla, 57.2% didn't do any activity. 23.3% of participants do activities for a periods, which approximately agree with the current study.<sup>[33]</sup> On the other hand, it is lower than in Baghdad (90%) and Suleimanya (89.7%).<sup>[22,10]</sup> Since they are big cities, students often have greater access to technology, i.e., more sedentary behaviors. Also, results were higher than in Duhok (55.8%)<sup>[34]</sup>, which may be due to Geographic Factors, i.e., mountainous areas that offer natural environments for outdoor activities.

A majority (81.64%) of study participants slept < 8 hrs. and the most common reason was the use of electronic devices (40.06%). In Baghdad, insufficient sleep was 94.8% and significant statistically with hrs. spent using the internet.<sup>[25]</sup> In contrast Duhok<sup>[35]</sup> sleeping < 6 hrs. only 2%. This disagreement may be due to the Dohuk's research studies only female and use different cut-off point. Insufficient sleep was reported as 72.7% of students were getting < 8 hrs. sleep/nights USA.<sup>[36]</sup> Academic pressure, technology usage, poor time management may cause sleep < 8hr. Societies may

encourage students to work hard at the expense of rest and sleep. Low FVs consumption as 98.31% did not meet the criteria of WHO and agree with the low FVs intake in Baghdad study, which was 90.6%.<sup>[22]</sup> A comparative study examining FV consumption patterns among adolescents in 49 LMICs revealed that Morocco stood out with the highest proportion of adolescents meeting the recommended daily FV intake, at 29.5%.<sup>[37]</sup> Personal preferences, peer and media influence, and factors like cost and lack of education about nutrition influence adolescents' low intake of FVs.

The study found a high percentage of students (80.79%) add salt to their food. This aligns with a Turkish study, which reported that 79.8% of students added salt to their food.<sup>[38]</sup> In Duhok, 56.4% never added additional salt to their food.<sup>[37]</sup> This disparity could be attributed to differences in sampling population (use youths aged 15-24 years and attended the Premarital Screening Health Clinic) or due to using too much salt during food processing and salty sauce instead. Adolescents may develop a preference for salty flavors due to frequent exposure to high-sodium foods. The study identified that Fast food consumption was reported by 79.7% of students and soft drinks were 83.3%. A study on food consumption among students in Duhok revealed that 70.8% of them drink soft drinks. Similarly, in Kirkuk, 94% of students consume fast food.<sup>[36,38]</sup> Despite different scales and the percentage of intake of fast food and soft drinks were highly consumed probably due to their convenience, affordability, taste appeal and limited nutritional knowledge all drives and the normalization of this consumption. This study shows 69.77% of students scored 5-7 risky behaviors, which may increase their risk of developing NCDs in future. Worldwide, prevalence of  $\geq 4$  NCD risk factors rose from 14.8% during 2003–2007 to 44% in 2013–2017.<sup>[5]</sup> A combination of greater independence, social pressures, hormonal change and lifestyle changes drives the increase in NCD risk factors during adolescence. These influences accumulate as adolescents grow older and Urbanization which introduces lifestyle changes that often contribute to unhealthy behaviors. While economic stability, parental education, and financial resources provide significant advantages, they can inadvertently increase risk behaviors through reduced supervision, increased access to resources and stress from high expectations. Future

NCD risk factors will likely develop including prolonged use of digital and immersive technologies, exposure to novel environmental pollutants, reliance on engineered foods and chronic stress from constant connectivity.

**Study Strengths:** Adolescence is a critical phase for developing habits that can last a lifetime. By focusing on secondary school students, this study targets a key group whose behaviors during this period can significantly impact their long-term health outcomes and directly address modifiable RFs for major NCDs, representing significant global health challenges. It contributes to policymakers developing interventions aimed at reducing the burden of NCDs.

**Study limitations:** Some behavioral RFs that may be underrepresented or not fully explored could be due to students' fear of answering, especially alcohol and drug abuse. More RFs like sexual behavior and violence on the development of NCDs among adolescents were difficult to study as it either embraced or other measurable tools to be estimated.

## CONCLUSION

The prevalence of most of the studied RFs was high. Most of the participants had at least two RFs related to NCDs and approximately 70% of them had 5-7 RFs and the prevalence of RFs associated more with students' families' sociodemographic features in addition to students' age and residency.

**Source of funding:** This work was funded by the authors' own resources.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Park K, (Ed.), Preventive and social medicine. 23rd ed., Jabalpur, India: Prem Nagar; Chapter 6, epidemiology of chronic non-communicable diseases and conditions, 2023; 413–414.
2. Yassin AM, Al-Bayati MA. Association between weight status and multimorbidity in women at reproductive age group attending PHCCs in Baghdad 2019. *Iraqi Postgrad Med J.*, 2023; 22(1). doi: 10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.2113.
3. Ministry of Health, Iraq. Annual health statistical report 2023. [Updated 2024 Nov 13; cited 2024 Dec 4]. Available from: <https://www.easybooking.eu/>.
4. Biswas T, Townsend N, Huda MM, Maravilla J, Begum T, Pervin Set al. Prevalence of multiple non-communicable diseases risk factors among adolescents in 140 countries: A population-based study. *EClinicalMedicine*, 2022; 52: 101591. doi: 10.1016/j.eclim.2022.101591.
5. Habib K, Jaber Z, Abbas M, Hadi A. Assessment of depression and anxiety in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Iraqi Postgrad Med J.*, 2024; 23(1): 106-112. doi: 10.52573/ipmj.2024.144584.1105.
6. Saleh B, Ma E. Impact of fast foods and snacks upon adolescents' BMI at secondary schools in Baghdad City. *Iraqi Natl J Nurs Spec.* 2015 Dec 30; 28(2): 1–7. doi: 10.58897/injns.v28i2.225.
7. UNICEF. Secondary education and enrollment statistics. United Nations Children's. 2024 Sep 5. Available from: <https://data.unicef.org/topic/education/secondary-education/>.
8. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases. 2024 Sep 4. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases>.
9. World Health Organization. Tobacco. 2024 Nov 12. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco>.
10. Qadir M, Weli SM. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors among secondary school pupils in Suleimanya City, Kurdistan-Iraq: a cross-sectional study. *J Fac Med Baghdad*, Jul. 1, 2023; 65(2). doi: 10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.2050.
11. Abd Ala IA, Mousa NA, Al-Lami F. Detection of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among smokers aged  $\geq 40$  years attending primary health care centers in Baghdad-Al-Karkh and Al-Rusafa. *J Fac Med Baghdad*, 2022; 64(4): 245–51. doi: 10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.6441936.
12. Idris AA, Mohammed HI, Osman MI. Impact of tobacco use in the etiology of chronic renal failure among Sudanese patients: tobacco and renal failure among Sudanese patients. *Al-Kindy Coll Med J.*, May 15, 2022; 18(1): 13–7. doi: 10.47723/kcmj.v18i1.786.
13. Humadi AF. Peers pressure and families smoking habit influence on smoking behavior among male students attending secondary schools at Second Al-Karkh Education Directorate, Baghdad. *Al-Kindy Coll Med J.*, Oct. 31, 2018; 14(1): 68–71. doi: 10.47723/kcmj.v14i1.22.
14. World Health Organization. Alcohol. 2024 Sep 8. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/alcohol>.
15. Khaleefah MA, Al-Badri HJ, Mousa NA. Hypertension Control among Adult Iraqis. *J Fac Med Baghdad*, Oct. 2022; 64(3): 145-52. doi:10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.6431935.
16. Al-Hemiary NJ, Hashim MT, Al-Diwan JK, Razzaq EA. Alcohol and drug abuse in post-conflict Iraq. *J Fac Med Baghdad*, 2015; 57(4): 290–4. doi: 10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.574392.
17. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Addiction and health. Bethesda: NIDA. 2024 Sep 7. Available from: <https://nida.nih.gov/publications/drugs-brains-behavior-science-addiction/addiction-health>.
18. World Health Organization. Physical activity. 2024 Sep 8. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity>.

19. World Health Organization. Guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behavior. 2024 Sep 8. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/336656/9789240015128-eng.pdf?sequence=1>.
20. Mohammed BK, Mohammed SJ. Workplace stress, physical activity and eating behavior and its relation to obesity among medical and paramedical staff. *Iraqi Postgrad Med J.*, 2021; 20(4).
21. Mohammed MY, Al-Diwan JK. Impact of conflict on physical activity among teenagers in Mosul City. *Ann Coll Med Mosul*, Jun. 1, 2022; 44(1): 83–7. doi:10.33899/mmed.2022.131880.1120.
22. Baghdadi GA. Early detection of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors among high secondary school students in Baghdad/Al-Rusafa, 2019. *World J Pharm Res.*, Oct. 24, 2021; 10(13): 135–49. doi:10.20959/wjpr202113-22045.
23. National Sleep Foundation. What to know about teens and sleep. NSF. 2024 Nov 12. Available from: <https://www.thensf.org/what-to-know-about-teens-and-sleep/>.
24. Abdi BA. Influence of inadequate sleep on blood pressure among the population in Zakho District. *J Contemp Med Sci.*, May 1, 2024; 10(3). doi:10.22317/jcms.v10i3.1552.
25. Ali RH, Al-Shatari SAE. The impact of using the internet and social media on sleep in a group of secondary school students from Baghdad. *Al-Kindy Coll Med J.*, Aug. 30, 2023; 19(2): 168–73. doi: 10.47723/kcmj.v19i2.954.
26. World Health Organization. Healthy diet. 2024 Sep 8. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/healthy-diet>.
27. Al-Bayati HF, Albadri AM, Mohammed SJ. Obesity among adult patients aged 18 years old and above attending main primary health care centers in Babil Governorate, Iraq 2015: prevalence and some possible risk factors. *Kufa Med J.*, Dec. 15, 2023; 19(2): 102–15. doi: 10.36330/kmj.v19i2.13473.
28. Taherdoost H. Determining sample size; how to calculate survey sample size. *Int J Econ Manag Syst*, 2017; 2: 237-239. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3224205>.
29. Ali RA, Al-Kerety SH. Factors affecting the prevalence of smoking behavior among secondary school students: a descriptive study in Kerbala City, Iraq. *Migr Lett.*, 2023; 20(S6): 351–8. doi: 10.59670/ml.v20iS6.4166.
30. World Health Organization. Surveillance systems and tools for non-communicable diseases, 2024 Sep 4. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/noncommunicable-diseases/surveillance/systems-tools>.
31. Central Statistical Organization of Iraq. National accounts. Baghdad: COSIT., 2024 Dec 4. Available from: <https://cosit.gov.iq/ar/national-accounts-ar>. (مؤشرات الحسابات القومية-الجهاز المركزي للإحصاء).
32. Mahmood N, Othman S, Al-Tawil N, Al-Hadithi T. Substance use among high school students in Erbil City, Iraq: prevalence and potential contributing factors. *East Mediterr Health J.*, 2019; 25(11): 806–12. doi: 10.26719/emhj.19.022.
33. Jasim HM, Hameed HG. Evaluation of self-care among adolescents with diabetes mellitus attending diabetes and endocrine center in Babylon Governorate, Iraq. *Obstet Gynecol Forum*, Jun. 19, 2024; 34(3s): 1908–17.
34. Agha SY, Rasheed BA. Dietary habits and physical activity among youth in Duhok, Iraq. *Duhok Med J.*, Jul. 1, 2021; 15(2): 29-38. doi: 10.31386/dmj.2021.15.2.3.
35. Tufeq ZA, Ziyab AM, Ahmed BA, Rahho MK, Abdulah DM. Sleep patterns, insomnia, and well-being among female high school students: a population-based study. *Sleep Med Res.*, Jun. 30, 2023; 14(2): 105–12. doi: 10.17241/smr.2023.01683.
36. Wheaton AG. Short sleep duration among middle school and high school students—United States, 2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*, 2018; 67(3): 85-90. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6703a1.
37. Darfour-Oduro SA, Buchner DM, Andrade JE, Grigsby-Toussaint DS. A comparative study of fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity among adolescents in 49 low- and middle-income countries. *Sci Rep.*, Jan 26, 2018; 8(1): 1623. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19956-0
38. Yilmazel G, Bozdogan S. Nutrition literacy, dietary habits and food label use among Turkish adolescents. *Prog Nutr.*, Mar. 1, 2021; 23(1): e2021007. doi:10.23751/pn.v23i1.8563.